Thermodynamic Modeling of Sr/TRU Removal

Andrew R. Felmy

August 2000

Prepared for BNFL, Inc. under Contract W375-98-LC-4168

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared by Battelle Memorial Institute (Battelle) as an account of sponsored research activities. Neither Client nor Battelle nor any person acting on behalf of either:

MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, process, or composition disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, process, or composition disclosed in this report.

References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by Battelle. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of Battelle.

Thermodynamic Modeling of Sr/TRU Removal

Andrew R. Felmy

August 2000

Prepared for BNFL, Inc. under Contract W375-98-LC-4168

Battelle, Pacific Northwest Division Richland, Washington 99352

ABSTRACT

This report summarizes the development and application of a thermodynamic modeling capability designed to treat the Envelope C wastes containing organic complexants. A complete description of the model development is presented. In addition, the model was utilized to help gain insight into the chemical processes responsible for the observed levels of Sr, TRU, Fe, and Cr removal from the diluted feed from tank 241-AN-107 which had been treated with Sr and permanganate. Modeling results are presented for Sr, Nd(III)/Eu(III), Fe, Cr, Mn, and the major electrolyte components of the waste (i.e. NO₃, NO₂, F, ...). On an overall basis the added Sr is predicted to precipitate as $SrCO_3(c)$ and the MnO_4^- reduced by the NO_2^- and precipitated as a Mn oxide. These effects result in only minor changes to the bulk electrolyte chemistry, specifically, decreases in NO₂⁻ and CO₃²⁻, and increases in NO₃⁻ and OH⁻. All of these predictions are in agreement with the experimental observations. The modeling also indicates that the majority of the Sr, TRU's (or Nd(III)/Eu(III) analogs, and Fe are tied up with the organic complexants. The Sr and permanganate additions are not predicted to effect these chelate complexes significantly owing to the precipitation of insoluble Mn oxides or SrCO₃. These insoluble phases maintain low dissolved concentrations of Mn and Sr which do not affect any of the other components tied up with the complexants. It appears that the removal of the Fe and TRU's during the treatment process is most likely as a result of adsorption or occlusion on/into the Mn oxides or SrCO₃, not as direct displacement from the complexants into precipitates. Recommendations are made for further studies that are needed to help resolve these issues.

CONTENTS

1.0	INΊ	RODUCTION	1.1
2.0	THI	ERMODYNAMIC MODEL DEVELOPMENT	2.1
3.0	APF	PLICATION TO SR/TRU SEPARATIONS FOR AN-107 DILUTED FEED	3.1
	3.1	Major Electrolyte Components	3.1
	3.2	Sr	3.2
	3.3	Nd/Eu	3.2
	3.4	Fe	3.4
	3.5	Mn	3.5
	3.6	Cr	3.6
4.0	COI	NCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4.1
5.0	REF	FERENCES	5.1

FIGURES

Figure 3.1. The solubility of $Eu(OH)_3(c)$ in the presence and absence of EDTA as a		
Function of NaOH concentration.	.3.	3

TABLES

Table 2.1.	AN-107 Diluted Feed Composition	2.4
Table 2.2.	Aqueous Species and Solid Phases Included in the Thermodynamic Model	2.5

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Radioactive wastes stored at Hanford require different levels of pretreatment to meet prespecified limits for low-activity waste or other disposal categories. Wastes currently labeled as Envelope C in the waste treatment privatization contract present difficult challenges in this regard as they contain high levels of organic complexants (e.g. EDTA, HEDTA, NTA, ...) and elevated levels of Sr-90 and transuranics (TRU's). These wastes thus require pretreatment before disposal. Owing to the complex nature of the wastes, developing such pretreatment processes has not been an easy task and different methods have been tested including additions of Fe, Sr, and permanganate (Hallen et al. 2000).

The objective of this report is to evaluate the potential for thermodynamic modeling to help both in understand the underlying chemical behavior of the wastes and in developing improved pretreatment processes. Unfortunately, currently available waste processing models such as the ESP model (OLI systems 1999), are not applicable to modeling the Sr/TRU separation process for Envelope C wastes. For example, using the ESP model for calculating the solubility of $SrCO_3(c)$ (a phase which readily forms in the treatment process) is in error by two orders of magnitude (i.e. 10⁻⁷m calculated versus 10⁻⁵m experimental) even in a very simple solution (1.0m Na₂CO₃) as a result of the neglect of Sr-CO₃ complexes. Modeling the solubility of the TRU's is also problematic in the current version (6.2) of the code. For example, the solubility of Am(OH)₃(c) is off by five orders of magnitude even in a simple 0.5m NaOH solution (i.e. predicted $>10^{-3}$ m, experimental 10^{-8} m) principally as the result of an unusually large stability for the neutral species, $Am(OH)_3(aq)$. The situation does not improve if the trivalent actinides are modeled using an analog approach (i.e. Nd(III) or Eu(III)). In this case the solubilities are still in error by approximately five orders of magnitude, but interestingly, the reasons for the error are different. In the case of Eu(III) and Nd(III) the error results from an unusually strong equilibrium constant for the fourth hydrolysis constant (i.e. Eu(OH)₄ or $Nd(OH)_4$) whereas the stability constant for the neutral species appears fine. Clearly, currently available versions of the ESP model (OLI 1999) are inapplicable to evaluating the Sr/TRU separation process from envelope C wastes.

With these factors in mind, this project has been divided into two efforts. The first effort focused on constructing a realistic thermodynamic model for the envelope C waste types. The second effort applied this model to evaluating the Sr/TRU separation process using the An-

107 diluted feed solution treated experimentally by Hallen et al. (2000). The first effort utilized the Pitzer thermodynamic model since we have recently completed a database for both the inorganic reactions (Felmy and Rai 1999) as well as for the chelate complexes (Felmy et al. 2000), which is applicable to the trivalent actinides. In addition, we have also developed a thermodynamic model for Sr valid to high base and carbonate concentration (Felmy et al. 1998; Felmy and Mason 1998). Thus we will begin with the development of the Pitzer thermodynamic model. An analysis of the results for the diluted AN-107 feed follows the description of the thermodynamic model.

2.0 THERMODYNAMIC MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The aqueous thermodynamic model used in this study is the ion-interaction model of Pitzer and coworkers. (Pitzer, 1973; Pitzer, 1991) This model emphasizes a detailed description of the specific ion interactions in the solution. The effects of the specific ion interactions on the excess solution free energy are contained within the expressions for the activity coefficients. The activity coefficients can be expressed in a virial-type expansion as

$$\ln \gamma_i = \ln \gamma_i^{DH} + \sum_j \beta_{ij}(I) m_j + \sum_j \sum_k C_{ijk} m_j m_k + \dots$$
(1)

where m is the molality and γ_i^{DH} is a modified Debye-Hückel activity coefficient that is a universal function of ionic strength. $\beta_{ij}(I)$ and C_{ijk} are specific for each ion interaction and are a function of ionic strength. Pitzer gives explicit phenomenological expressions for the ionic-strength dependence of β . (Pitzer, 1973; Pitzer, 1991). The third virial coefficient, C, is taken to be independent of ionic strength. The form of β is different for like, unlike, and neutral ion interactions. A detailed description of the exact form of Eq. (1) is given elsewhere. (Felmy and Weare, 1986; Felmy et al. 1989; Harvie et al. 1984).

In order to establish the model parameters needed for this study we must first define the nature of the chemical system that is to be modeled. In this regard, the chemical composition of the diluted waste feed for tank AN-107 is shown in Table 2.1. The principal electrolyte components include Na, OH, NO₃, NO₂, CO₃, F, PO₄, Al(OH)₄, and SO₄. This is precisely the chemical system for which we (Felmy et al. 1994a) have developed a Pitzer thermodynamic model over the temperature range $25 - 100^{\circ}$ C. This model includes all of the essential binary ion-interactions (i.e. Na⁺-OH⁻, Na⁺-NO₃⁻, ...), important ion associations (i.e. formation of NaNO₃(aq) and NaNO₂(aq) ion pairs), necessary common-ion ternary interactions (i.e. NO₃⁻ - Al(OH)₄⁻, Na⁺-NO₃⁻-Al(OH)₄⁻, ...) and solid phases (see Table 2.2). A complete description of these model parameters along with extensive comparisons with the existing osmotic and solubility data is given in Felmy et al. (1994a). It is worthy of note that the model does not include data for the formation of certain mixed double salts, most importantly mixed Na-F-PO₄ solids that have recently been shown in the tank solutions. Adding the necessary data for such solids remains a task for subsequent years.

With the thermodynamic model for the major electrolytes established the necessary data for the other important "minor" components must be established. The components included in the model include all of those in Table 2.1 designated with an asterisk. The metals/cations considered include Ba, Ca, Cr, Fe, La, Mn, Nd, Ni, and Sr. Sr is included owing to the obvious importance of Sr-90 in the separation process. Nd and La serve as analogs for the trivalent actinides Am(III) and Cm(III). Ba and Ca are possible important cations that can compete with Sr for the organic chelates as well as being involved in sulfate/carbonate precipitation reactions. Fe and Mn are important in reactions with the gluconate (Fe) and in redox processes (Mn). Mn is also important as a chemical additive (as MnO₄). Cr is important from an oxidation/reduction perspective and Ni is present as sufficient concentration and can form potentially important mixed metal-chelate-hydroxy complexes that can tie up the organic chelates. While some of the other components could conceivably be important under unusual conditions these components appeared to be the most likely to be relevant to the Sr/TRU precipitation process and were included this year.

The organic chelates included are: gluconate, EDTA, and HEDTA. Only selected data were included for NTA. The concentrations of the chelates were not directly reported by Hallen et al. 2000 and were estimated from the 9M simulant data. This constraint effects the model calculations described in the next section. However, even with some uncertainty in the actual chelate concentrations the high thermodynamic stability of the gluconate, EDTA and HEDTA complexes makes their selection for inclusion in the model reasonable.

A complete list of the complexes for which thermodynamic data (including stability constants and ion-interaction parameters) are included is given in Table 2.2.

Experimentally measured Pitzer ion-interaction parameters were available for only a few of the species listed in Table 2.2 and analogs were used for many of these parameters as described below. Experimentally determined values were available for the metal ions (i.e. Sr^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , ...) with NO₃⁻ from Pitzer (1991). The corresponding parameters with NO₂⁻ were generally unavailable (except for Na⁺) and were set equal to the NO₃⁻ parameters. The parameters for Na⁺ interactions with Cr(OH)₄⁻ were determined by Felmy et al. (1994b) and those for CrO₄⁻²⁻ by Pitzer (1991). The parameters for Na⁺ interactions with Nd/Eu(OH)₄⁻ were set equal to the values for Na⁺-Al(OH)₄⁻ and tested by Felmy et al. (2000). The parameters for the Na⁺ interactions with the fourth hydrolysis species (i.e. Mn(OH)₄⁻²⁻, Fe(OH)₄⁻²⁻, ...) were set equal to the measured values (Rai et al. 1991a) for Cd(OH)₄⁻²⁻. Similarily, the values for the Na⁺ interactions with the dicarbonate metal complexes (i.e. Fe(CO₃)₂⁻²⁻, Cu(CO₃)₂⁻²⁻) were set equal to

the measured values (Rai et al. 1991b) for Cd(CO₃)₂²⁻. The values for Na⁺ interactions with Ca(CO₃)₂²⁻ and Sr(CO₃)₂²⁻, as well as Sr²⁺-OH⁻ were experimentally determined by Felmy et al. 1998. The parameters for Na⁺ with Nd/Eu(CO₃)₃³⁻ are from Felmy and Rai (1999). The values for the organic chelate complexes were estimated using the charge analog approach of Felmy et al. (2000). In this approach the experimentally measured values for Na⁺ with EDTA⁴⁻, HEDTA³⁻,H2EDTA2- and HEDTA- (Pokrovsky et al. 1998) were used for all EDTA and HEDTA species of the same charge (i.e. the parameters for Na⁺ with H₂EDTA²⁻ were set equal to the parameters for CaEDTA²⁻, NiEDTA²⁻ etc. and the parameters for HEDTA³⁻. The parameters for Na⁺ interactions with EuOH(NTA)₃⁴⁻ were experimentally determined by Felmy et al. (2000).

Major Compounds	Concentration (m)	Minor Components	Concentration (m)	Organic Ligands(**)	Concentration (m)
Na+	8.9	*Al	1.7x10-1	Glycolate	.30
NO ₃ -	3.1	*Ba	3.4x10-5	Gluconate(*)	.022
NO ₂ -	1.3	*Ca	1.3x10 ⁻²	Citrate	.055
CO32-	1.6	Се	2.3x10-4	EDTA(*)	.024
OH-	0.84	Cd	4.9x10-4	HEDTA(*)	.0094
SO42-	0.1	*Cr	3.3x10-3	NTA(*)	.037
PO4 ³⁻	0.037	Cs	1.1x10-4	IDA	.056
***F-	0.39	Cu	3.9x10-4		
Cl-	0.046	*Fe	2.4x10-2		
		К	3.8x10-2		
		*La	1.9x10-4		
		*Mn	2.3x10-3		
		*Nd	5.8x10-4		
		*Ni	7.9x10-3		
		Pb	1.45x10-3		
		*Sr	3.5x10 ⁻⁵		
		U	3.6x10-4		
		Zn	3.4x10 ⁻⁴		
		Zr	5.6x10-4		

Table 2.1. AN-107 Diluted Feed Composition

* metals for which thermodynamic data were included

** estimated from 9M simulant (SRTC 1999), assuming perfect dilution (i.e. 0.856) .

*** IC analysis probably includes formate and acetate

Component	Aqueous Species	• •	Solid Phases	References
Aluminum	OH-	Al(OH) ₃ (aq), Al(OH) ₄ -	gibbsite, boehmite	hydroxide complexes – Felmy
	EDTA	AIEDTA-, AIOHEDTA ²⁻ , AI(OH) ₂ EDTA ³⁻	8	et al (1994a) others Smith and
	HEDTA	AIHEDTA(aq), AIOHHEDTA-,		Martell (1995)
		Al(OH) ₂ HEDTA ²⁻		Watten (1993)
	gluconate	AlH_5G^{2+} , AlH_4G^+ , AlG^-		
Barium		Ba ²⁺	barite, witherite	Smith and Martell (1995)
	SO4 ²⁻	BaSO ₄ (aq)		× ,
	CO32-	BaCO ₃ (aq)		
	EDTA	BaEDTA ²⁻		
	HEDTA	BaHEDTA-		
Calcium		Ca^{2+}	calcite, anhydrite, gypsum, gaylussite,	carbonate complexes - Felmy
	EDTA	CaEDTA ²⁻	pirssonite, portlandite	et al. (1998), solid phases –
	HEDTA	CaHEDTA-		Harvie et al. (1984), others -
	gluconate	CaH_5G^+		Smith and Martell (1995)
	CO32-	$CaCO_3(aq), Ca(CO_3)_{2^2}$		
Chromium	EDTA	CrO_4^{2-}	Cr(OH) ₃ (am)	Felmy et al. (1994b), EDTA -
	EDIA	C_{r}		Smith and Martell (1995)
I (III)	OH	$Cu(OH)_4^-$, NaCr(OH)_4 (aq)		
Iron(III)	OH ²	$Fe(OH)^{4}$	Fe(OH) ₃ (am), goethite, hematite	Fe(II) carbonates – Bruno et al.
	CO32-	$Fe(CO_3)(aq), Fe(CO_3)^{2^2}, FeOHCO_3(aq),$		(1992b), Fe(III) carbonates –
	EDTA	$Fe(CO_3)_2$ $For EDTA_2$ $For COUPDTA_2^2$ $For COUP(CDTA)_2^4$		Bruno et al. (1992a), all others
	EDIA	$FeEDTA^2$, $FeOTEDTA^2$, $Fe2(OT)_2(EDTA)_2^2$, $FeEDTA^2$.		Smith and Martell (1995)
	HEDTA	Fehedia Feiohihedia-		
		$E_{e}(OH)_{2}HEDTA^{2-}$		
		Fe(OH)3HEDTA ³⁻ Fe2(OH)2(HEDTA)2 ²⁻		
	gluconate	FeH ₅ G ²⁺ , FeH ₂ G ⁻ , FeH ₃ G(aq), FeOHH ₂ G ²⁻		
Lanthanum/	8	Nd ³⁺	Nd(OH)2 NdOHCO2	hydroxide and carbonate
Neodynium	OH-	$Nd(OH)_2^+$, $Nd(OH)_3(aq)$, $Nd(OH)_4^-$	$N_{\rm e}(011)$, $N_{\rm e}(1100)$,	complexes Felmy and Rai
5	CO32-	NdCO ₃ ⁺ , Nd(CO ₃) ₂ ⁻ , Nd(CO ₃) ₃ ³⁻	Inaind(CO3)2•0H2O	(1005) EDTA LIEDTA NTA
	EDTA	NdEDTA-, NdOHEDTA2-		E^{1}
	HEDTA	NdHEDTA(aq), Nd(OH)HEDTA		– Feimy et al. (2000), gluconate
		Nd(OH)2HEDTA ²⁻		– Martell and Smith (1995),
	gluconate	$NdH_5G^{2+}, Nd(H_5G)_{2^+}$		solids – Rao et al. (1996a,b)
	NTA	NdNTA(aq), NdOHNTA ⁻ , Nd(NTA) ₂ ³⁻ ,		
		NdOH(NTA)2 ⁴⁻		
Manganese		Mn^{2+}	$Mn(OH)_2$, $MnCO_3$	Martell and Smith (1995)
	OH-	$MnOH^+$, $Mn(OH)_{4^{2-}}$		
	EDTA	MnEDTA ²⁻		
	HEDTA	MnHEDTA-		
Nickel		Ni ²⁺	Ni(OH) ₂ , NiCO ₃	Hydroxides – Mattigod et al.
	OH-	NiOH+		(1997), others – Martell and
	CO3 ²⁻	NiCO ₃ (aq)		Smith (1995)
	EDTA	N1EDTA ²⁻ , N1OHEDTA ³⁻		· · ·
	HEDTA	NiHEDTA-		
C - d'arres	giuconate	N1H5G ⁺ , N12HG ⁻	NINO NINO NE	
Sodium	NO	Na ⁺	NaNO ₃ , NaNO ₂ , NaF,	Felmy et al. (1994a),
	NO3 ²	NaNO3	$Na_3PO_4 \bullet 8H_2O$, $Na_3PO_4 \bullet 10H_2O$,	$CaSO_4 \bullet 2Na_2SO_4 \bullet 2H_2O -$
	1002	INaINO ₂	$Na_3PO_4 \bullet 12H_2O$, $Na_2CO_3 \bullet 2Na_2SO_4$,	Harvie et al. (1984)
			Na2SO4•CaSO4	
			C_{1}	
			$CaSO_4 \bullet 2INa_2SO_4 \bullet 2H_2O$,	
			$Na_2SO_4 \bullet 10H_2O$, $Na_2CO_3 \bullet 10H_2O$,	
			$Na_2CO_3\bullet7H_2O$, Na_2SO_4 ,	
			Na ₂ CO ₃ •H ₂ O	
Strontium		Sr ²⁺	Sr(OH) 08HO SrCO2 SrSO	carbonates – Felmy et al.
	CO32-	$SrCO_3(aq), Sr(CO_3)_2^{2-}$	01(011)2-01120, 01003, 01004	(1998) others – Martell and
	EDTA	SrEDTA ²⁻		Smith (1995)
	HEDTA	SrHEDTA-		511101 (1995)
	gluconate	SrH5G ⁺		

Table 2.2. Aqueous Species and Solid Phases Included in the Thermodynamic Model

3.0 APPLICATION TO SR/TRU SEPARATIONS FOR AN-107 DILUTED FEED

In this section the thermodynamic model that we have developed is applied to help gain a better understanding of the chemical processes that take place when the AN-107 diluted feed is subjected to permanganate and Sr additions. The experimental studies are described in Hallen et al. 2000. Briefly, the diluted AN-107 feed (dissolved component concentrations estimated in Table 2.1) was subjected to the addition of permanganate and stable Sr to concentrations of 0.05M and 0.075M respectively. These chemical additions significantly altered the waste composition and resulted in the removal Fe, Cr, Mn, and trivalent actinides and analogs (Nd/Eu). The total dissolved Sr concentration increased upon addition but the removal of radioactive Sr-90 increased.

The model simulations were initiated using the dissolved aqueous concentrations shown in Table 2.1 and then the permanganate and Sr introduced to identify changes in equilibria. The results will be described for the major ions followed by the results for Sr, Nd/Eu (the trivalent actinide analogs), Fe, Mn, and Cr.

3.1 Major Electrolyte Components

The results for the major electrolytes before treatment show that all of the components are soluble with the exception of fluoride. Approximately half of the fluoride is predicted to precipitate as NaF(c). The prediction of NaF(c) precipitation also agrees with simulations run by ESP. However, ESP also predicts the formation of Na₃FSO₄(c) and Na₇F(PO4)₂19H₂O, solids that are not in the Pitzer database. It thus appears that the analytical fluoride concentration is too high. This is probably a result of the analytical procedure used to determine fluoride (IC), which can include acetate and formate as well as fluoride. The Pitzer model also predicts that the solutions are saturated with respect to Al(OH)₃ (i.e. gibbsite). Though this fact does not impact the current pretreatment process since the hydroxide concentration actually increases as a result of MnO₄⁻ reduction (see below). Other treatment processes, such as dilution of the AN-107 feed to 6M Na and 1.0M hydroxide also moves the solution composition away from the gibbsite phase boundary. Never the less it is something to be aware of should the pretreatment process be modified.

Among the major components, only nitrite, carbonate, and hydroxide are altered by the treatment process. Carbonate is lowered by the precipitation of $SrCO_3(c)$ and nitrite is oxidized to nitrate by the added MnO_4^- ,

$$2MnO_4^{-} + 3NO_2^{-} + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2MnO_2(c) + 3NO_3^{-} + 2OH^{-}$$
(2)

This reaction also results in generation of hydroxide, which prevents any gibbsite precipitation. The removal of the Mn and the decrease in nitrite agree with the experimental observations. The details on the Mn changes are described below.

3.2 Sr

In the solutions before treatment the Sr appears to be tied up with the organic complexants (e.g. SrEDTA²). Although the carbonate concentration is very high the aqueous carbonate complexes (Table 2.2) are not strong enough to account for the initial observed dissolved Sr concentration. However when the organic complexants are considered in the calculations the Sr solubilizes and $SrCO_3(c)$ becomes undersaturated. This initial undersaturation agrees with the experimental observations of the diluted feed, which shows increases in total dissolved Sr concentration (i.e. negative decontamination factors for total Sr). The modeling indicates that this results from organic chelate complexes rather than inorganic carbonate complexation. The addition of large amounts of added Sr in the treatment process then "saturates" the chelate complexes and $SrCO_3(c)$ precipitates. It should be noted that this chelate "saturation" does not mean that all of the chelates are tied up with Sr. In fact only a few percent of the organic complexants are tied up with Sr owing to the low solubility of $SrCO_3(c)$.

3.3 Nd/Eu

Nd(III) and Eu(III) are analogs for the trivalent actinides Am(III) and Cm(III). Recent experimental data (Felmy et al. 2000) have shown that in the presence of organic complexants and high base concentration that mixed metal-chelate-hydroxide complexes (i.e. EuOHEDTA²⁻, EuOH(NTA)₂⁴⁻, ...) result in significant increases in the solubility of the stable hydroxide phases, see Figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1. The solubility of $Eu(OH)_3(c)$ in the presence and absence of EDTA as a Function of NaOH concentration.

However, even with these complexes included in the model, the results indicate that Eu(OH)₃ or Nd(OH)₃ should precipitate from solution. The model predicts a solubility of approximately 10⁻⁵ m, which seems reasonable in comparison with the data shown in Figure 3.1. However the analytical data for Nd before treatment shows a dissolved concentration approximately an order of magnitude greater than the model predictions. The differences could result from a variety of factors: analytical error in determining solution compositions, incomplete solids separation, or an error in the thermodynamic model. The latter effect is possible especially considering that the thermodynamic model was developed in pure NaOH solutions. Mixing terms with the other species present in the diluted feed (e.g. model parameters

for interactions such as $NO_3^- - Eu(OH)EDTA^2$, $NO_2^--Eu(OH)EDTA^2$) could account for these differences. Alternatively, the high carbonate concentration could be resulting in the formation of mixed Eu-EDTA-CO₃ complexes in analogy with the Eu-OH-EDTA complexes. This latter possibility could also explain the removal of Am when carbonate concentrations are lowered. Experimental studies with individual chelates and thermodynamic measurements in mixed electrolyte systems (including carbonate) would be required to identifying the discrepancy.

The simulations performed following treatment do not significantly alter the situation. The added Sr is not effective in displacing Nd/Eu from the chelate complexes since the carbonate concentration keeps Sr insoluble as $SrCO_3(c)$ and the added Mn is removed as a Mn oxide. If the model is "correct" such results indicate that the removal of Am/Cm/Eu upon treatment is not a result of metal ion displacement reactions among the chelates but more likely as the result of an adsorption or occlusion of the trivalents in the precipitated manganese oxides or $SrCO_3(c)$. This latter conclusion is also partially supported by the experimental data for the actinides themselves (i.e. Am-241, Cm-242, and Cm-243 + Cm-244. These radionuclides are present at quite low concentrations (i.e. Am-241 (5.7x10⁻⁷m), Cm-242 (2.1x10⁻¹²m), Cm-243 + Cm-244 (7.3x10⁻¹²m)). These concentrations are far below any predicted solubility equilibrium with respect to the hydroxide phases, yet they also show significant decontamination factors (30 - 66). In addition the data for Eu(III), which is present at quite low concentration, $\sim 2 \times 10^{-8}$ M, also shows a significant DF (11-12). Hence the removal of the trivalent actinides and actinide analogs (Eu(III) can occur at concentrations well below the solubility limits strongly indicating that adsorption reactions with the bulk precipitated manganese oxides and/or SrCO₃ is a likely mechanism. Adsorption of Am-241 on precipitated manganese oxides has been previously hypothesized as a removal mechanism from AN-107 simulants (SRTC 1999).

3.4 Fe

The modeling results for Fe in the initial diluted feed indicate that the iron should be present as a soluble Fe(III)-hydroxyl-gluconate complex (designated Fe(OH)H₂G²⁻ in Table 2.2). All Fe(II) complexes, including EDTA complexes and CO_3^{2-} complexes are insignificant, at least if NO_2^{-}/NO_3^{-} equilibria is maintained. The NO_2^{-}/NO_3^{-} equilibrium assumption is discussed further in the Mn section that follows. The addition of the Sr and permanganate does not alter this situation. The added Sr and Mn do not compete for the gluconate complex and the Fe(III)

present in solution cannot be oxidized further. Therefore, the observed removal of Fe is either the result of a lack of redox equilibria between NO_2^{-}/NO_3^{-} resulting in an initial presence of Fe(II), selective oxidation of the gluconate complexes, or adsorption of the Fe(III)-gluconate complexes to the precipitated Mn and Sr phases. Thermodynamic modeling cannot shed any more light on these issues until more data is available on the specific chemical effects of the treatment process (e.g. change in individual chelate concentrations, nature of the solid compounds precipitated).

3.5 Mn

The chemical behavior of Mn is particularly interesting since it both redox sensitive and is part of the chemical additions used to help remove the Sr and TRU's. Experimentally, Mn is added to the simulant as Mn(II) and remains soluble until the addition of Sr and MnO_4^- , whereupon Mn precipitates from solution, presumably as Mn(IV) oxides. The modeling results show some interesting features as regards these results.

First, the calculations before addition indicate that the initial added Mn(II), should have precipitated as a higher oxidation state Mn oxide. Three Mn oxide phases were considered in the thermodynamic analysis MnO₂(c), Mn₂O₃(c), and Mn₃O₄(c). Of these, the model predicts the stability sequence Mn₂O₃(c) > Mn₃O₄(c) > MnO₂(c), indicating that the solutions are still at least partially reducing with respect to Mn(IV) oxides. This is also supported by the solution speciation results, which show a finite amount (<0.5%) of Mn(II) in solution, principally tied up with the EDTA chelate. These calculations are *not* supported by the experimental data, which show that the initial added Mn does not precipitate. The solutions therefore appear to be somewhat more reducing than the calculations based solely upon NO₂⁻/NO₃⁻ equilibria would indicate. Such effects are not unexpected given the significant concentrations of lower molecular weight organics that are present. These compounds can be effective reducing agents for the relatively small amounts of Mn present before addition¹. To try and simulate this effect, the formation of all higher (i.e. oxidation state greater than II) Mn oxides was suppressed in the

¹ The importance of the low molecular weight organics in the Mn redox reactions is supported by the recent data of Hallen (personal communication) which shows that MnO_4^- reacts much more rapidly with formate than nitrite. The MnO_4^- is thus more likely consumed by reactions with the lower molecular weight organics than by nitrite.

calculations. In this simulation, the Mn remains in solution in the form of Mn(II) chelate complexes. If we ignore the possible reducing potential of the organic compounds, then the addition of MnO₄⁻ does not result in any significant changes in the calculations since the Mn oxides are already stable in the NO₂⁻/NO₃⁻ containing solutions. Under these conditions the added MnO₄⁻ simply oxidizes some NO₂⁻ to NO₃⁻, raises the base concentration slightly, and precipitates the same higher Mn oxides in the same stability sequence as occurred before addition. This results because the added MnO₄⁻ does not significantly alter the NO₂⁻/NO₃⁻ ratio in solution. If the formation of the higher Mn oxides is suppressed, then the added MnO₄⁻ is now predicted to be reduced to Mn(II) by the NO₂⁻. Since stoichiometrically there is too little chelate to complex all of this additional Mn(II), Mn(OH)₂(c) now precipitates from solution. This precipitation also prevents the Mn(II) from competing effectively with the chelate complexes. So even under this extreme scenario it appears that the additional Mn does not serve as an effective competitor for displacing the other radionuclides/metals from chelate complexes.

3.6 Cr

Cr was initially added to the simulant as sodium chromate. Modeling of the initial solutions indicates that given the NO_2^{-}/NO_3^{-} equilibria the Cr should remain as CrO_4^{-2-} . No significant Cr(III) complexes, including hydrolysis species or chelate complexes are predicted to form at these high base concentrations. Recently, under an on-going EMSP project a preliminary equilibrium constant for a dimeric species $(Cr_2O_2(OH)_4^{-2-})$ has been proposed (D. Rai personal communication). However, even when this complex was tested, CrO_4^{-2-} remains stable. The introduction of the Sr and permanganate does not alter this picture, Cr remains as CrO_4^{-2-} . No precipitation equilibria were identified.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The most significant conclusion from this study that still requires resolution is that the TRU, Fe, and Cr removal is most likely as a result of adsorption to the precipitated Mn oxides or $SrCO_3(c)$. The mechanism does not appear to involve direct precipitation of hydroxide phases. The oxidizing properties of the MnO_4^- do not appear to influence the process since the NO_2^- , or low molecular weight organics, merely converts the MnO₄ to oxides. If this is true, then the addition of MnO₄ simply serves as a convenient way of adding Mn oxides. It would be of considerable interest to test this hypothesis by adding Mn oxides (of different composition) to the feed directly. This would test the adsorption hypothesis. Similar studies should be done with $SrCO_3(c)$ to eliminate this is a removal vector. Unraveling these mechanisms is important if wastes of different NO₂⁻ concentration require treatment. If the NO₂⁻ concentration is too low, the Mn oxides may not form and the process would be ineffective. The thermodynamic models for Eu(III)/Nd(III) should also be tested in NO_3^- , NO_2^- , and CO_3^{-2-} containing systems to evaluate the importance of the mixing parameters in the Pitzer model and the possible formation of Eu-EDTA- CO_3^{2-} complexes. Other than these issues, the modeling results agree well with the experimental findings of Hallen et al. (2000). Nevertheless, significant gaps remain in the experimental and thermodynamic data for application of these models. These data gaps include: undetermined or estimated ion interaction parameters, lack of ternary complexes for many of the metal-chelate-ligand complexes, and lack of information on partially decomposed chelates. Further experimental data with simplier waste simulants would also be extremely helpful in establishing the chemical mechanisms responsible for the observed decontamination factors.

5.0 **REFERENCES**

Bruno, J., P. Wersin, and W. Stumm. (1992a). "On the Influence of Carbonate in Mineral Dissolution: I. The Thermodynamics and Kinetics of Hematite Dissolution in Bicarbonate Solutions at $T = 25^{\circ}$ C." *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* **56**, 1139-1147.

Bruno, J., P. Wersin, and W. Stumm. (1992b). "On the Influence of Carbonate in Mineral Dissolution: II. The Solubility of $FeCO_3(s)$ at 25^oC and 1 atm. Total Pressure." *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* **56**, 1149-1155.

Felmy, A.R., D.A. Dixon, Z. Wang, A.G. Joly, J.R. Rustad, and M.J. Mason. (2000). "The Aqueous Complexation of Eu(III) with Organic Chelates at High Base Concentration: Molecular and Thermodynamic Modeling Results." <u>ACS Symposium Series, The First Accomplishments of the Environmental Management Sciences Program</u> (accepted).

Felmy, A.R., and D. Rai. (1999). "Application of Pitzer's Equations for Modeling the Aqueous Thermodynamics of Actinide Species: A Review." *Journal of Solution Chemistry* **28**(5), 533-553. Special Memorial Edition in honor of Professor Kenneth Pitzer.

Felmy, A.R., and M.J. Mason. (1998). "The Displacement of Sr from Organic Chelates by Hydroxide, Carbonate, and Calcium in Concentrated Electrolytes." *Journal of Solution Chemistry* **27**(5), 435-454.

Felmy, A.R., D.A. Dixon, J.R. Rustad, M.J. Mason, and L.M. Onishi. (1998). "The Hydrolysis and Carbonate Complexation of Strontium and Calcium in Aqueous Electrolytes: Use of Molecular Modeling Calculations in the Development of Aqueous Thermodynamic Models." *Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics* **30**, 1103-1120.

Felmy, A.R., J.R. Rustad, M.J. Mason, and R. de la Bretonne. (1994a)."A Chemical Model for the Major Electrolyte Components of the Hanford Waste Tanks: The Binary Electrolytes in the System: Na-NO₃-NO₂-SO₄-CO₃-F-PO₄-OH-Al(OH)₄-H₂O." TWRS-PP—94-090. The Westinghouse Hanford Co., Richland, WA.

Felmy, A.R., C.C. Schroeder, L. Rao, D. Rai, M.J. Mason, and R.W. Fulton. (1994b). "Solubility Relations for Aqueous Si, Al, and Cr(III) Species in Concentrated Electrolytes: Development of Accurate Aqueous Thermodynamic Models." Presented at the 208Th ACS National Meeting, Washington D.C., August 1994.

Felmy, A.R., and J.H. Weare. (1986). "The Prediction of Borate Mineral Equilibria in Natural Waters: Application to Searles Lake, California." *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* **50**(12), 2771-2783.

Felmy, A. R., Dhanpat Rai, J. A. Schramke, and J. L. Ryan. 1989. "The Solubility of Plutonium Hydroxide in Dilute Solution and in High-Ionic Strength Chloride Brines." *Radiochimica Acta* 48:29-35.

Hallen, R.T., P.R. Bredt, K.P. Brooks, and L.K. Jagoda. (2000). "Combined Entrained Solids and Sr/TRU Removal from AN-107 Diluted Feed." BNFL-RPT-027.

Harvie, C.E., N. Møller, and J.H. Weare. (1984). "The Prediction of Mineral Solubilities in Natural Waters: The Na-K-Mg-Ca-H-Cl-SO₄-OH-HCO₃-CO₃-CO₂-H₂O System to High Ionic Strengths at 25°C." *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* **48**(4), 723-751.

Martell, R.E., and R.M. Smith. (1995). *Critically Selected Stability Constants of Metal Complexes Database, Version 2.0.* NIST Standard Reference Data Program, Gaithersburg, MD.

Mattigod, S.V., D. Rai, A.R. Felmy, and L. Rao (1997). Solubility and Solubility Product of Crystalline Ni(OH)₂. <u>J. Solution Chemistry</u>, 26 (4), 391-403.

OLI Systems, Inc. (1999). Environmental Simulation Program, ver. 6.2, Aug. 2, 1999

Pitzer, K.S. (1991). Activity Coefficients in Electrolyte Solutions. 2nd edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 542 pp.

Pitzer, K.S. (1973). "Thermodynamics of Electrolytes. I. Theoretical Basis and General Equations." *Journal of Physical Chemistry* **77**(2), 268-277.

Pokrovsky, O.S., M.G. Bronikowski, R.C. Moore, G.R. Choppin. (1998). "Interaction of Neptunyl(V) nad Uranyl(VI) with EDTA in NaCl Media: Experimental Study and Pitzer Modeling." Radiochimica Acta **80**, 23-29.

Rai, D., A.R. Felmy, and R.W. Szelmeczka. (1991a). "Hydrolysis Constants and Ion-Interaction Parameters for Cd(II) in Zero to High Concentrations of NaOH-K0H and the Solubility Product of Cd(OH)₂(c)." *Journal of Solution Chemistry* **20**, 375-390.

Rai, D., A.R. Felmy, and D.A. Moore. (1991b). "Thermodynamic Model for Aqueous Cd²⁺-CO₃²⁻ Ionic Interactions in High-ionic-strengh Carbonate Solutions, and the Solubility Product of Crystalline CdCO₃." *Journal of Solution Chemistry* **20**(12), 1169-1187.

Rao, L., D. Rai, A.R. Felmy, R.W. Fulton and C.F. Novak. (1996a). "Solubility of NaNd(CO₃)₂ 6H₂O in Concentrated Na₂CO₃ and NaHCO₃ Solutions." *Radiochemica Acta* **75**, 141-147.

Rao, L., D. Rai, and A.R. Felmy. (1996b). "Solubility of Nd(OH)₃ © in 0.1M NaCl Aqueous Solution at 25°C and 90°C." *Radiochemica Acta* **72**, 151-155.

SRTC. 1999. TRU Removal from Hanford AN-107 Simulant using Sodium Permanganate and Calcium, October 1999, BNF-003-98-0160, SRTC, Aiken, South Carolina.

DISTRIBUTION

No. of Copies

2

1

OFFSITE

No. of Copies

ONSITE

DOE/Office of Scientific and Technical	5	British Nuclear Fuels, Limited		
Information		M. E. Johnson (4)	BN-FL	
		A. Thompson	BN-FL	
Charles Nash				
Westinghouse Savannah River Company	1	<u>Fluor Daniel Northwest</u>		
Savannah River Technology Center		G. T. McLean	G3-10	
PO Box 616, Road 1				
Aiken, SC 29802	2	Lockheed Martin Hanford (<u>Company</u>	
		T. W. Crawford	R3-73	
		D. J. Washenfelder	H6-18	
	2	Numatec Hanford Company		
	-	R A Kirkbride	R3-73	
		R. M. Orme	R3-73	

12	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory			
	A. R. Felmy (5)	K8-96		
	R. T. Hallen	K2-12		
	E. V. Morrey	P7-28		
	Technical Report Files (5)	K1-06		